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1. Introduction [1, 2, 6, 9]
   1. Concepts/Reasoning Behind Digital Art Restoration [2, 6, 9] – Explanation of why digital art restoration exists.
   2. How Cracks Form/Types of Cracks [1, 2] – Explanation of how cracks form, and will also probably briefly cover common crack variations.
   3. Applying Mathematical Morphology to Crack Detection [1, 2, 6, 9] – Explanation of the concepts behind mathematical morphology and how they may be applied to crack detection in digital art restoration.
   4. Summary of Next Sections – What it says on the tin.
2. Background [3] – Not entirely sure what is going to go here yet. What is listed currently is my best approximation. Some items from the introduction could possibly be moved into this section as well.
   1. Edge Detection Information [3] – Basic information on mechanics of edge detection. This is something that needs to be discussed in the context of this paper, but hopefully will not need to be terribly in-depth, because the math involved gets a little intense.
   2. Canny Algorithm [3] – Extension of section on edge detection. The discussion of edge detection will be general information, while this section will be focused on a specific method, probably with some equations involved.
3. Morphological Operations [4, 5, 7, 8] – Explanation of morphological operations. This will probably make up a significant portion of the final paper.
   1. Erosion [4, 5, 7, 8] – Explanation of erosion.
      1. Binary [4, 5, 7, 8]
      2. Greyscale [8]
   2. Dilation [4, 5, 7, 8] – Explanation of dilation.
      1. Binary [4, 5, 7, 8]
      2. Greyscale [8]
   3. Opening [4, 5, 7, 8] – Explanation of opening.
      1. Binary [4, 5, 7, 8]
      2. Greyscale [8]
   4. Closing [4, 5, 7, 8] – Explanation of closing.
      1. Binary [4, 5, 7, 8]
      2. Greyscale [8]
4. Methods of Crack Detection [1, 2, 6, 9] – Explanation of how the morphological operations described above can be applied to crack detection in digital art restoration.
   1. Top Hat Transform [1, 2, 9] – Explanation of top hat transform.
   2. Bottom Hat Transform [1, 2] – Explanation of bottom hat transform.
   3. Other Methods [6] – This source implements an unnamed method of crack detection. It is somewhat vaguely described at parts, and so this may not make the final cut, but for now it is listed.
5. Results [1, 2, 6, 9] – Presentation of results of the various methods of crack detection in digital art restoration explained in the above section. This section may include a discussion of crack misidentification, although it is also possible this will be discussed in the previous section on methods of crack detection.
6. Conclusions [1, 2, 6, 9]
   1. Summary of Previous Sections – What it says on the tin.
   2. Further Work – Explanation of inpainting, which is the next step in the digital art restoration process. Possibly a discussion of other methods of crack detection.
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